arXiv:hep-ph/0405103v2 26 May 2004

`hep-ph/0405103`
HIP-2004-22/TH

Non-Gaussian perturbations in hybrid inflation

Kari Enqvist[1] and Antti Väihkönen[2]

Helsinki Institute of Physics and Department of Physical Sciences
P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Abstract

We consider second order inflationary perturbations in the case of two scalar fields, σ and the inflaton φ. We derive an expression for the non-Gaussianity of perturbations and apply the results to hybrid inflation. We isolate the contributions due to σ and evaluate the resulting terms to show that σ-induced non-Gaussianities dominate over inflaton-induced non-Gaussianities when $m_\sigma^2 \gtrsim \eta H^2$, where η is the slow-roll parameter. This may provide a useful test of hybrid inflation in forthcoming CMB experiments.

---

[1] E-mail: kari.enqvist@helsinki.fi
[2] E-mail: antti.vaihkonen@helsinki.fi

1 Introduction

With the advent of WMAP [1], measurements of the temperature and polarization fluctuations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) now begin to reach the level of precision needed for testing various models of inflation and hence, by implication, particle physics at very short length scales. The spectral index of the two-point correlator of scalar perturbations is a well-known measure of the slow-roll parameters, which are directly related to the form of the inflaton potential. Tensor perturbations, the spectrum of which could be extracted from future CMB polarization and temperature fluctuation data, would yield independent constraints on the potential [2, 3]. In case of multi-field inflaton models [4], testing is naturally more involved. For instance, the constraints imposed by the tensor modes are less severe [3]. Moreover, in addition to the purely adiabatic perturbations of the single-field inflation, there could be a small component of entropy (isocurvature) perturbation (for a general treatment of the first order entropy perturbations, see [5]). There could also be a correlation between the adiabatic and entropy perturbations which is a source for additional freedom in fitting the CMB data [6].

In addition to the spectral features of the CMB, the statistical properties of the temperature fluctuations also provide potentially important information about the origin of the primordial perturbations. This is an aspect that has received much less attention as compared to the spectral considerations – and up to now, perhaps for a good reason. From observations we know that the spatial distribution of the temperature fluctuations on the microwave sky appear to be random so that their statistics is mostly Gaussian [7]. However, in many inflationary models there are several sources of non-Gaussianities; the self-interaction of the inflaton is one obvious example [8]. In models with more than one scalar field the emergence of non-Gaussianity at some level cannot usually be avoided. These non-Gaussianities may be small, but they could nevertheless yield important constraints on models of inflation. Indeed, observations of CMB non-Gaussianity are only now starting to be sensitive enough to be able to distinguish between different inflationary scenarios [9] (see also [10] and references therein).

Non-Gaussianity in standard single-field inflation has been considered e.g. within the framework of stochastic inflation [11, 12]. The three-point correlation function was discussed in [13]. For Gaussian statistics, all the N-point correlators are related to the two-point correlator with the odd correlators vanishing. Hence the three-point correlator of the scalar perturbations is the lowest order measure of non-Gaussianity of the perturbations. In [8] the induced cubic self-interaction terms of the inflaton were computed together with an estimate for the resulting non-Gaussianity, which was found to be small. Non-vacuum initial states and non-Gaussianity have been addressed in [14, 15, 16]. Nonstandard inflation scenarios have also been studied in the context of non-Gaussianity, such as models with higher order derivative operators [17], or models with a varying inflaton decay rate [18]. However, many of these treatments (with the exception of [8]) have been lacking in that non-Gaussianity has been introduced by hand, often relating it to the magnitude of the first-order perturbation. In general a consistent treatment of the perturbation theory up to second order is required to find the Fourier transform of the three-point correlator, called the bispectrum.

A full computation of the bispectrum of single-field inflationary models has recently been performed in second-order perturbation theory by Acquaviva et al. [19]. They considered second-order perturbations in both the metric and the energy-momentum tensor and, using the Einstein equation, solved the evolution of the gauge-invariant comoving curvature perturbation, computed up to the first order in the slow-roll parameters. This enabled them to find out the gauge-invariant gravitational potential bispectrum.

Despite the occasional incompleteness of their treatment, most single-field studies suggest that the amount of primordial non-Gaussianity is unobservable at present (see however [18]) and possibly unobservable even by the Planck satellite [9]. However, many models of inflation are not based on the simple single-field approach. Indeed, one of the most popular realization of the inflationary paradigm is hybrid inflation [20] which relies on two scalar fields, the slowly rolling inflaton φ, and an additional field σ, sometimes called the "waterfall field". During inflation σ stays roughly constant but eventually triggers the end of inflation by rapidly cascading down the potential to its minimum (see e.g. [21, 4]).

Non-Gaussianity in multi-field inflation has been studied by Bernardeau and Uzan [22, 23] (even before them Yi and Vishniac [24] concluded that non-Gaussian effects can be important in multi-field models but their model lacked compelling physical motivation). In their case inherently non-Gaussian isocurvature perturbations are transferred to the adiabatic modes during inflation. The necessary ingredients for this kind of mechanism are a self-coupling for a transverse scalar field for generating the initial non-Gaussianity, and a coupling in the potential leading to a curved trajectory in the field space (and trough that to a mixing between isocurvature and adiabatic modes). This approach is very specific and is not applicable to a generic multi-field inflation. Indeed, as in the case of single-field inflation, the proper treatment of non-Gaussianity requires expanding metric and scalar field perturbations up to second order. Second order perturbation theory [25, 26, 27] has been employed both in single-field models [8, 19] and multi-field models [28], where the emphasis was on the connection between primordial perturbations and CMB temperature fluctuation at large scales. In [29] it was applied to a study of isocurvature and adiabatic perturbations in multi-field models (see also [30]), where it was found that the isocurvature perturbation sources the gravitational potential on long wavelengths in the second order even without any bend in the trajectory in the field space. We reach the same conclusion in our study. Second order perturbation theory has also been applied to the curvaton scenario [31, 32, 33], where the curvature perturbations are generated after the inflation; for a discussion on non-Gaussianity in curvaton models, see [34, 28, 35]. The enhancement of primordial perturbations after inflation has been studied in [36], where it was found that even tiny non-Gaussianities produced during single-field inflation could be significantly enhanced by gravitational dynamics after inflation.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we expand both the metric and energy-momentum tensor perturbations of two scalar fields, denoted as φ (the inflaton) and σ, up to second order, following the single-field treatment presented in [19]. We then write down the perturbed Einstein equations up to second order and construct a master equation for the second order metric perturbation $\phi^{(2)}$. In Section 3 we apply the master equation to hybrid inflation. Section 4 is devoted to constructing second order comoving curvature perturbation first for a general two-field case and then for hybrid inflation. The contribution due to the second scalar field σ is isolated. In Section 5 we study the curvature perturbation induced by the second field in more detail. In particular the amount of non-Gaussianity due to σ is compared to non-Gaussianity due to inflaton. Finally in Section 6 we discuss our results and draw conclusions.

2 Second order inflationary perturbations of two scalar fields

2.1 Metric perturbations

Let us start by assuming a spatially flat Robertson-Walker metric in conformal time $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = a^2(\tau)(-d\tau^2 + d\boldsymbol{x}^2)$. The components of a perturbed metric up to an arbitrary order can be written as [25, 26]

$$g_{00} = -a(\tau)^2 \left(1 + 2\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!}\phi^{(\mathrm{r})}\right), \tag{1}$$

$$g_{0i} = a(\tau)^2 \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!}\hat{\omega}_i^{(\mathrm{r})}, \tag{2}$$

$$g_{ij} = a(\tau)^2 \left[\left(1 - 2\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!}\psi_i^{(\mathrm{r})}\right)\delta_{ij} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!}\hat{\chi}_{ij}^{(\mathrm{r})}\right], \tag{3}$$

where the functions $\phi^{(\mathrm{r})}$, $\hat{\omega}_i^{(\mathrm{r})}$, $\psi^{(\mathrm{r})}$, and $\hat{\chi}_{ij}^{(\mathrm{r})}$ represent the rth order perturbations of the metric.

Let us perform the standard splitting into the scalar, vector, and tensor parts by writing [25, 26]

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\omega}_i^{(\mathrm{r})} &= \partial_i \omega^{(\mathrm{r})} + \omega_i^{(\mathrm{r})}, \qquad (4)\\ \hat{\chi}_{ij}^{(\mathrm{r})} &= D_{ij}\chi^{(\mathrm{r})} + \partial_i \chi_j^{(\mathrm{r})} + \partial_j \chi_i^{(\mathrm{r})} + \chi_{ij}^{(\mathrm{r})}, \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

where $\partial^i \omega_i^{(\mathrm{r})} = \partial^i \chi_i^{(\mathrm{r})} = 0$, $\partial^i \chi_{ij}^{(\mathrm{r})} = 0$, $\chi^{i(\mathrm{r})}_{\ i} = 0$, and $D_{ij} = \partial_i \partial_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_{ij}\partial^k \partial_k$. When considering only scalar fields up to the second order we can follow Acquaviva et al. [19] and neglect $\omega_i^{(1)}$, $\chi_i^{(1)}$, and $\chi_{ij}^{(1)}$, thus obtaining the metric

$$\begin{aligned}g_{00} &= -a(\tau)^2 \left(1 + 2\phi^{(1)} + \phi^{(2)}\right), \qquad (6)\\ g_{0i} &= a(\tau)^2 \left(\partial_i \omega^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i \omega^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\omega_i^{(2)}\right), \qquad (7)\\ g_{ij} &= a(\tau)^2 \Bigg[\left(1 - 2\psi^{(1)} - \psi^{(2)}\right)\delta_{ij} + D_{ij}\left(\chi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\chi^{(2)}\right)\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i \chi_j^{(2)} + \partial_j \chi_i^{(2)} + \chi_{ij}^{(2)}\right)\Bigg]. \qquad (8)\end{aligned}$$

We will adopt the generalized longitudinal gauge [25, 26], and set $\omega^{(1)} = \omega^{(2)} = \omega_i^{(2)} = 0$ and $\chi^{(1)} = \chi^{(2)} = 0$. This renders the metric into the form

$$\begin{aligned}g_{00} &= -a(\tau)^2 \left(1 + 2\phi^{(1)} + \phi^{(2)}\right), \qquad (9)\\ g_{0i} &= 0\ , \qquad (10)\\ g_{ij} &= a(\tau)^2 \left[\left(1 - 2\psi^{(1)} - \psi^{(2)}\right)\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i \chi_j^{(2)} + \partial_j \chi_i^{(2)} + \chi_{ij}^{(2)}\right)\right]\ , \qquad (11)\end{aligned}$$

which is the basis of our subsequent analysis. The Einstein tensor resulting from the metric Eqs. (9)-(11) is presented in Appendix A.

2.2 Energy-momentum perturbations of two scalar fields

Let us now consider the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ for generic two scalar fields, φ and σ, minimally coupled to gravity and given by [37]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \varphi\, \partial_\nu \varphi + \partial_\mu \sigma\, \partial_\nu \sigma - g_{\mu\nu}\left(\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\alpha \varphi\,\partial_\beta \varphi + \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\,\partial_\alpha \sigma\,\partial_\beta \sigma + V(\varphi,\sigma)\right), \qquad (12)$$

where $V(\varphi,\sigma)$ is the potential for the scalar fields. In our notation, φ is the inflaton. The fields can be expanded up to the second order in perturbations, where the zeroth order is the homogeneous part denoted by the subscript 0:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \varphi_0(\tau) + \delta^{(1)}\varphi(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}\varphi(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}), \qquad (13)\\ \sigma(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) &= \sigma_0(\tau) + \delta^{(1)}\sigma(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}\sigma(\tau,\boldsymbol{x}). \qquad (14)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the energy-momentum tensor can be expanded up to second order as

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = T^{\mu(0)}_{\nu} + \delta^{(1)}T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}, \tag{15}$$

where $T^{\mu(0)}_{\nu}$ denotes the background value. The components of the energy-momentum tensor (12) up to the second order are presented in Appendix B.

2.3 Einstein equations

Using the results of the two previous subsections we may expand the Einstein tensor up to the second order as[3]

$$G_{\mu\nu} = G^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta^{(1)}G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}G_{\mu\nu}. \tag{16}$$

We list the components of the Einstein tensor for the metric (9) - (11) up to the second order in Appendix A.

The Einstein equations can now be written in the component form. The background equations[4] $G^{0(0)}_{0} = \kappa^2 T^{0(0)}_{0}$ and $G^{i(0)}_{j} = \kappa^2 T^{i(0)}_{j}$ are found to be respectively given by

$$3\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2\right) + \kappa^2 a^2 V_0 \tag{17}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^2 + 2\mathcal{H}' = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2\right) + \kappa^2 a^2 V_0 \ . \tag{18}$$

Here $\mathcal{H} \equiv a'/a$ and $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi G_N \equiv M_P^{-2}$, where G_N is Newton's constant and M_P is the reduced Planck mass.

The first order perturbed equations $\delta^{(1)}G^{0}{}_{0} = \kappa^2\delta^{(1)}T^{0}{}_{0}$, $\delta^{(1)}G^{0}{}_{i} = \kappa^2\delta^{(1)}T^{0}{}_{i}$ and $\delta^{(1)}G^{i}{}_{j} = \kappa^2\delta^{(1)}T^{i}{}_{j}$ take respectively the forms

$$\begin{aligned}
&6\mathcal{H}^2\phi^{(1)} + 6\mathcal{H}\psi^{(1)\prime} - 2\partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(1)} \\
&\quad = \kappa^2\left[-\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi' - \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma' + w^2\phi^{(1)} - a^2\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\right] \ , \\
&2\mathcal{H}\partial_i\phi^{(1)} + 2\partial_i\psi^{(1)\prime} = \kappa^2\left(\varphi_0'\,\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) \ , \\
&\left(2\mathcal{H}\phi^{(1)\prime} + 4\frac{a''}{a}\phi^{(1)} - 2\mathcal{H}^2\phi^{(1)} + \partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)} + 4\mathcal{H}\psi^{(1)\prime} + 2\psi^{(1)\prime\prime} - \partial_k\partial^k\psi^{(1)}\right)\delta^{i}{}_{j} \\
&\quad + \partial^i\partial_j\left(\psi^{(1)} - \phi^{(1)}\right) = \kappa^2\left[\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma' - w^2\phi^{(1)} - a^2\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\right]\delta^{i}{}_{j} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

---

[3] Note that Acquaviva et al. [19] expand $G_{\mu\nu} = G^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta^{(1)}G_{\mu\nu} + \delta^{(2)}G_{\mu\nu}$ without the factor one half in the second order term, although they have it in the expansion of the energy-momentum tensor.

[4] Note that $G^{0(0)}_{i} = G^{i(0)}_{0} = T^{0(0)}_{i} = T^{i(0)}_{0} = 0$.

where we have defined $w^2 \equiv {\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2$.

In the second order perturbed equations we use the well known [38] first order result for the scalar fields and set $\psi^{(1)} = \phi^{(1)}$. The components $\delta^{(2)}G^0{}_0 = \kappa^2 \delta^{(2)}T^0{}_0$, $\delta^{(2)}G^i{}_0 = \kappa^2 \delta^{(2)}T^i{}_0$ and $\delta^{(2)}G^i{}_j = \kappa^2 \delta^{(2)}T^i{}_j$ read respectively as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}^2 \phi^{(2)} + \frac{a''}{a}\phi^{(2)} + 3\,\mathcal{H}\psi^{(2)\prime} - \partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(2)} - 12\,\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 3\,\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} \\
&- 8\,\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} - 3\left(\phi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2 = \kappa^2\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(2)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(2)}\sigma'\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right)\right. \\
&+ 2\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)\phi^{(1)} - 2w^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) \\
&\left.- \frac{a^2}{2}\left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(2)}\sigma + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + 2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi\,\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\varphi\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right]\right\}\ , \\[2ex]
&\mathcal{H}\partial^i\phi^{(2)} + \partial^i\psi^{(2)\prime} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_k\partial^k\chi^{i(2)\prime} + 2\phi^{(1)\prime}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 8\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)\prime} \\
&= \kappa^2\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\,\partial^i\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\partial^i\delta^{(2)}\sigma\right) + \delta^{(1)}\varphi'\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \delta^{(1)}\sigma'\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right. \\
&\quad\left.+ 2\left(\varphi_0'\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\phi^{(1)}\right]\ , \\[2ex]
&\left[\frac{1}{2}\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}\phi^{(2)\prime} + \frac{a''}{a}\phi^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}^2\phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_k\partial^k\psi^{(2)} + \psi^{(2)\prime\prime}\right. \\
&+ 2\mathcal{H}\psi^{(2)\prime} + 4\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 8\frac{a''}{a}\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 8\mathcal{H}\phi^{(1)}\phi^{(1)\prime} - 3\partial_k\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^k\phi^{(1)} \\
&\left.-\ \ 4\phi^{(1)}\,\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)} - \left(\phi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2\right]\delta^i{}_j - \frac{1}{2}\partial^i\partial_j\phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^i\partial_j\psi^{(2)} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}\left(\partial^i\chi_j^{(2)\prime} + \partial_j\chi^{i(2)\prime} + \chi^{i(2)\prime}_{j}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(\partial^i\chi_j^{(2)\prime\prime} + \partial_j\chi^{i(2)\prime\prime} + \chi^{i(2)\prime\prime}_{j}\right) \\
&- \frac{1}{4}\partial_k\partial^k\chi^{i(2)}_{j} + 2\,\partial^i\phi^{(1)}\,\partial_j\phi^{(1)} + 4\,\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\partial_j\phi^{(1)} \\
&= \kappa^2\left\{\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma'\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right)\right.\right. \\
&- 2\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)\phi^{(1)} + 2w^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) \\
&\left.- \frac{a^2}{2}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(2)}\sigma + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + 2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi\,\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\varphi\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\right]\delta^i{}_j \\
&\left.+\ \ \partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial_j\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial_j\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right\}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

In writing the 00 and ij components we have made use of the equality $\kappa^2 w^2/2 = \mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}'$ of the background equations (17) and (18), together with the relation $a''/a = \mathcal{H}^2 + \mathcal{H}'$ which follows directly from the definition of $\mathcal{H}$.

2.4 The master equation

Here we follow the procedure outlined in [19] for the single field case. Our purpose is to derive master equations for the second order metric perturbation $\phi^{(2)}$. To this end, we take the divergence of the $i0$ component of the second order Einstein equation using the background metric δ_{ij}, that is, we operate on Eq. (20) with $\partial_i = \delta_{ij}\partial^j$ (recall that $\partial_i \chi^{i(2)} = 0$) together with the inverse of the spatial Laplacian, denoted by $\triangle^{-1}$. The result is

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma\right) = \frac{\psi^{(2)\prime} + \mathcal{H}\phi^{(2)} + \triangle^{-1}\alpha}{\kappa^2} - \triangle^{-1}\beta, \tag{21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha =& \, 2\,\phi^{(1)\prime}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 10\,\partial_i\phi^{(1)\prime}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 8\,\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)\prime}, \\ \beta =& \, \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma + \delta^{(1)}\varphi'\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \delta^{(1)}\sigma'\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma \\ & + 2\,\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + 2\,\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Taking the trace of the ij component of the second order Einstein equation and recalling that $\chi^{i(2)}_{\ i} = \partial^i\chi^{(2)}_i = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}\partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(2)} =& -\mathcal{H}\phi^{(2)\prime} - \frac{a''}{a}\phi^{(2)} - \mathcal{H}^2\phi^{(2)} - \psi^{(2)\prime\prime} - 2\mathcal{H}\psi^{(2)\prime} + 8\frac{a''}{a}\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 \\ & - 4\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 8\mathcal{H}\phi^{(1)}\phi^{(1)\prime} + \frac{7}{3}\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + \frac{8}{3}\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} \\ & + \left(\phi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2 + \kappa^2\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma'\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right)\right. \\ & - 2\left(\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)\phi^{(1)} + 2w^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 \\ & - \frac{1}{6}\left(\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) - \frac{a^2}{2}\left[\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(2)}\sigma\right. \\ & \left.\left. - \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + 2\,\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi\,\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

From Eq. (21) and its derivative with respect to time we obtain an expression for the second order terms of the metric perturbations on the right hand side, which transforms the Eq. (23) into

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{3}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}\partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(2)} = {} & 8\frac{a''}{a}\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 4\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 8\mathcal{H}\phi^{(1)}\phi^{(1)\prime} + \frac{7}{3}\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} \\
& + \frac{8}{3}\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + \left(\phi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2 + \triangle^{-1}\alpha' + 2\mathcal{H}\triangle^{-1}\alpha - \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta' \\
& - 2\mathcal{H}\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta + \kappa^2\Bigg\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right) \\
& - 2\left(\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)\phi^{(1)} + 2w^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{6}\left(\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) \\
& - \frac{a^2}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + 2\,\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi\,\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right]\Bigg\}\ . \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

Taking the inverse Laplacian of the previous equation (24) leads to

$$\psi^{(2)} = \phi^{(2)} - \triangle^{-1}\gamma\ , \qquad (25)$$

where γ is three times the right hand side of Eq. (24). We then plug this result into Eq. (21) and obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma\right) = \frac{\phi^{(2)\prime} + \mathcal{H}\phi^{(2)} + \triangle^{-1}\alpha}{\kappa^2} - \triangle^{-1}\beta - \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\triangle^{-1}\gamma'\ . \qquad (26)$$

Thus far we have made use of the $i0$ and ij components of the second order Einstein equations. By utilizing Eq. (25) together with the derivative of Eq. (26) with respect to the conformal time τ the 00 component of the second order Einstein equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi^{(2)\prime\prime} - \partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)} + 2\mathcal{H}\phi^{(2)\prime} + 2\mathcal{H}'\phi^{(2)} = 12\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 3\left(\phi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2 + 8\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} \\
& + 3\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 2\mathcal{H}\triangle^{-1}\alpha - 2\mathcal{H}\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta - 2\mathcal{H}\triangle^{-1}\gamma' - \triangle^{-1}\alpha' + \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta' \\
& + \triangle^{-1}\gamma'' + 3\mathcal{H}\triangle^{-1}\gamma' - \gamma + \kappa^2\Bigg\{\varphi_0''\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0''\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right] \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) - 2w^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 2\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)\phi^{(1)} \\
& - \frac{a^2}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + 2\,\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi\,\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right]\Bigg\}\ , \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also applied the background field equations [37] $\varphi_0'' + 2\mathcal{H}\varphi_0' + a^2\partial V/\partial\varphi = 0$ and $\sigma_0'' + 2\mathcal{H}\sigma_0' + a^2\partial V/\partial\sigma = 0$.

Eq. (27) is our master equation describing the evolution of the second order metric perturbation $\phi^{(2)}$. We have not made any approximations in deriving it; therefore it applies generally to all inflationary models with two scalar fields. Understanding the evolution of $\phi^{(2)}$ is of key importance since it is going to be used later in calculating the second order gauge invariant curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$.

3 Hybrid inflation

3.1 Basic features of the model

Until now our treatment has been very general and we have not made any assumptions, e.g., about the form of the potential. In the second order we now have three different equations but four unknown functions $\phi^{(2)}$, $\psi^{(2)}$, $\delta^{(2)}\varphi$, and $\delta^{(2)}\sigma$. In fact, as is noted in [39], the dynamics of a system of two scalar fields can not be described by just one equation even in the first order. Therefore, we need an additional constraint in order to solve completely the dynamics of the system.

In our case such a constraint is provided by the fact that in hybrid inflation models the second scalar field σ, the “waterfall field”, sits at the bottom of a non-curved valley so that we may take $\sigma_0 = 0$. Consistent with this we also assume that the inflation potential does not contain any terms linear in σ so that $\sigma_0 = 0$ is indeed a local minimum[5]. As we will discuss, in hybrid inflation the perturbations in σ are decoupled from the evolution of $\phi^{(2)}$ to the extent that the dynamics of $\delta\sigma$ can be considered independently.

The potential of the hybrid inflation scenario reads [4]

$$V(\varphi,\sigma) = V_0 - \frac{1}{2}m_0^2\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\sigma^4 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\varphi^2 + \frac{1}{2}g^2\sigma^2\varphi^2. \tag{28}$$

As the parameters are related by $V_0 = m_0^2/4\lambda$, the number of free parameters is four.

The critical value of the inflaton field defines the point where the ”valley” in the σ direction disappears. It is given by

$$\varphi_c^2 = \frac{m_0^2}{g^2} \ . \tag{29}$$

Inflation ends when φ reaches the critical value. Depending on the potential in the σ direction, there could be a few additional e-folds due to the slow initial motion of σ. The usual slow-roll parameters are defined by [37, 41]

$$\epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\frac{1}{V}\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\right)^2 = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{\dot{\varphi}_0^2}{H^2} \ , \tag{30}$$

$$\eta \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa^2}\frac{1}{V}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2} = \epsilon - \frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{H\dot{\varphi}_0} \ , \tag{31}$$

---

[5] Note that our formalism would apply also to the Linde–Mukhanov model [40].

where the dot denotes derivation with respect to the cosmic time, and $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter. During inflation, i.e. when $\varphi > \varphi_c$, $\sigma \simeq 0$ and V_0 dominates the hybrid potential. Hence, using the potential (28) the slow-roll parameters read

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\frac{m^2\varphi}{V_0}\right)^2 , \quad \eta = \frac{m^2}{\kappa^2 V_0} \ . \tag{32}$$

During the slow roll, the inflaton field receives perturbations with a power law spectrum $\mathcal{P}_\varphi \equiv k^3|\delta\varphi|^2/2\pi \sim k^{n-1}$. The almost scale invariant nature of the perturbations is guaranteed by the smallness of the slow-roll parameters with $n = 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta$, where $n = 1$ corresponds to the exact scale invariance.

By virtue of the construction of the model, the perturbations generated by the inflaton are mainly Gaussian. Terms quadratic in φ produce perturbations proportional to $\varphi_0\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi$. The waterfall field σ does not give rise to this kind of perturbations since its background value $\sigma_0 = 0$. Therefore the leading order perturbations are Gaussian and due to the inflaton. However, as we will show below, σ may well induce second order non-Gaussian perturbations which are larger than the small second order inflaton perturbations and could be observationally significant.

Focusing now on hybrid inflation and setting $\sigma_0 = 0$ (together with $\partial^2 V/\partial\sigma\partial\varphi = 0$), Eq. (27) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi^{(2)''} - \partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)} + 2\mathcal{H}\phi^{(2)'} + 2\mathcal{H}'\phi^{(2)} = 12\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 3\left(\phi^{(1)'}\right)^2 + 8\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} \\
&+ 3\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 2\mathcal{H}\triangle^{-1}\alpha - 2\mathcal{H}\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta - \triangle^{-1}\alpha' + \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta' \\
&+ \triangle^{-1}\gamma'' + \mathcal{H}\triangle^{-1}\gamma' - \gamma + \kappa^2\Bigg\{\varphi_0''\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right] \\
&- \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) - 2{\varphi_0'}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 2\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\phi^{(1)} \\
&-\frac{a^2}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]\Bigg\} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where the definition of α does not change but β and γ simplify somewhat with respect to their original forms (see Eqs. (22), (25)). From Eq. (26) we obtain an expression for $\delta^{(2)}\varphi$ which we then plug into (33). The result is a master equation for the second order metric perturbation $\phi^{(2)}$ in hybrid inflation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi^{(2)''} - \partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)} + 2\left(\mathcal{H} - \frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\right)\phi^{(2)'} + 2\left(\mathcal{H}' - \frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\mathcal{H}\right)\phi^{(2)} = \\
&12\mathcal{H}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 + 3\left(\phi^{(1)'}\right)^2 + 8\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 3\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 2\left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\right)\triangle^{-1}\alpha \\
&- \triangle^{-1}\alpha' - 2\kappa^2\left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\right)\triangle^{-1}\beta + \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\beta' - \gamma + \left(\mathcal{H} - 2\frac{\varphi_0''}{\varphi_0'}\right)\triangle^{-1}\gamma' + \triangle^{-1}\gamma''
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\kappa^2\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2+\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right]-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi+\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)-2{\varphi_0'}^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2\right.\\
&\left.+2\varphi_0'\phi^{(1)}\delta^{(1)}\varphi'-\frac{a^2}{2}\left[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2+\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]\right\}. \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}
$$

The important point is that now all the source terms are quadratic in the first order perturbations. Note that in Eq. (34) we have not made any approximations.

3.2 Metric perturbation in hybrid inflation

It is convenient to rewrite our master equation Eq. (34) in terms of cosmic time $dt = a d\tau$. This makes it easier to make use of the slow-roll parameters (30) and (31). We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{\phi}^{(2)}+H\left(1-2\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{H\dot{\varphi}_0}\right)\dot{\phi}^{(2)}+2H^2\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}-\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{H\dot{\varphi}_0}\right)\phi^{(2)}-\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)}\\
&=-24H^2\left(1+\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}\right)\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2-24H\phi^{(1)}\dot{\phi}^{(1)}-\frac{4}{a^2}\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\phi^{(1)}\\
&+2H\left(3-\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{H\dot{\varphi}_0}\right)\triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a}-\frac{\alpha}{a}\right)+4\triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a}-\frac{\alpha}{a}\right)^{\bullet}\\
&-2\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma}+\triangle^{-1}\ddot{\gamma}\\
&-\kappa^2\left\{2\left[\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\varphi}\right)^2+\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\right)^2\right]+8\dot{\varphi}_0^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2-8\dot{\varphi}_0\phi^{(1)}\delta^{(1)}\dot{\varphi}\right.\\
&\left.-\left[\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2+\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]\right\}\ , \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have written $-\gamma$ explicitly (see Eq. (25)).

We need to evaluate the term $\triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\beta/a-\alpha/a\right)$ in Eq. (35). In terms of the cosmic time t we may simply write

$$
\frac{\alpha}{a}=2\,\partial_i\partial^i\left(\phi^{(1)}\dot{\phi}^{(1)}\right)+6\left(\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\dot{\phi}^{(1)}+\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\dot{\phi}^{(1)}\right) \qquad (36)
$$

(see Eq. (22)). To derive an expression for β/a we make use of the first order Einstein equation (19). Setting $\psi^{(1)}=\phi^{(1)}$ we obtain $\delta^{(1)}\dot{\varphi}$ from the 00 component and from the $0i$ component an equation of motion for the first order metric perturbation given by

$$
\dot{\phi}^{(1)}+H\phi^{(1)}=\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\,\dot{\varphi}_0\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi\ . \qquad (37)
$$

After some algebra we can write

$$\frac{\beta}{a} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}\,\partial_i\partial^i\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + 3\,\dot{\varphi}_0\,\phi^{(1)}\,\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + 3\,\dot{\varphi}_0\,\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi$$
$$+\,\frac{2}{\kappa^2 a^2\dot{\varphi}_0}\,\partial_i\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \frac{2}{\kappa^2 a^2\dot{\varphi}_0}\,\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)}\,\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi$$
$$+\,\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma} + \delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\,\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\ . \tag{38}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right) = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + 3H\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 2\phi^{(1)}\dot{\phi}^{(1)}$$
$$+\,\frac{2}{a^2\dot{\varphi}_0}\triangle^{-1}\left(\partial_i\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)}\,\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)$$
$$+\,\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\ , \tag{39}$$

where we have again utilized the equation of motion Eq. (37).

In hybrid inflation constraint σ decouples completely from the first order perturbed Einstein equations Eq. (19) and we may isolate the contributions due to σ from those that are due to the inflaton φ. Taking into account Eqs. (22), (24), (25) and (39) we thus write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha \equiv \alpha_\varphi,\\
&\beta \equiv \beta_\varphi + \beta_\sigma = \beta_\varphi + a\,\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right),\\
&\gamma \equiv \gamma_\varphi + \gamma_\sigma = \gamma_\varphi - 9a^2\kappa^2 H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) - 3a^2\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet}\\
&\qquad + a^2\kappa^2\left[\frac{3}{2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2a^2}\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and

$$\triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right) \equiv \triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right)_\varphi + \triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right)_\sigma$$
$$= \triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right)_\varphi + \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right), \tag{41}$$

where the subscripts φ and σ denote respectively the inflaton and σ contributions. The inflaton contributions have all been computed previously by Acquaviva et al. [19], whose treatment is still valid here due to the decoupling of φ and σ. Plugging Eq. (41) into Eq. (35) and dropping terms next to the leading order in slow-roll parameters the master equation Eq. (35) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\ddot{\phi}^{(2)} + H\dot{\phi}^{(2)} + 2H^2\left(\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} - \frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{H\dot{\varphi}_0}\right)\phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{a^2}\partial_i\partial^i\phi^{(2)} \\
&= [\text{ inflaton contribution }] \\
&+ 6\kappa^2 H \triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + 4\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet} \\
&- 2\kappa^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\right)^2 + \kappa^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 - 2\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma}_\sigma + \triangle^{-1}\ddot{\gamma}_\sigma \ . \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}$$

The inflaton part can be computed from Eq. (35) but it has already been done in [19]. The last two lines in Eq. (42) represent the second order metric perturbation solely due to the second field of hybrid inflation.

We have now succeeded in completely isolating the contribution of σ to the dynamics of the second order metric perturbation $\phi^{(2)}$ within the hybrid inflation paradigm. This is of utmost importance since the master equation, Eq. (42), plays a key role when we later compute the curvature perturbation. The decoupling of φ and σ makes it also possible to isolate the contribution of σ to the curvature perturbation also.

4 Curvature perturbations

4.1 General formula

Let us now derive the second-order gauge-invariant curvature perturbation for two scalar fields following the procedure described in [19] (for an exact treatment see [42]). We denote the gauge-invariant curvature perturbation by $\mathcal{R}$ and expand it up to the second order in the already familiar way[6]

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \ . \qquad (43)$$

We are mainly interested in the second order part. Our starting point is the first order quantity [5]

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) \ . \qquad (44)$$

Instead of the first order quantities we write the expansion up to second order for the metric perturbation $\psi = \psi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\psi^{(2)}$ and the scalar fields $\delta\varphi = \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}\varphi$ and $\delta\sigma = \delta^{(1)}\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{(2)}\sigma$. We obtain

$$\psi + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) = \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\psi^{(2)} + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right)\right] \ . \qquad (45)$$

---

[6] Recall that the curvature perturbation does not have a homogeneous part.

Consider then the following second-order shift of the time coordinate [25, 26, 19]

$$\tau \to \tau - \xi^0_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{0\;\prime}_{(1)}\xi^0_{(1)} - \xi^0_{(2)}\right) \,, \tag{46}$$

which transforms $\psi^{(2)}$, $\delta^{(2)}\varphi$ and $\delta^{(2)}\sigma$ into

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\psi^{(2)}} &= \psi^{(2)} + 2\xi^0_{(1)}\left(\psi^{(1)\prime} + 2\mathcal{H}\psi^{(1)}\right) - \left(\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2\right)\left(\xi^0_{(1)}\right)^2 \\
&\quad - \mathcal{H}\xi^{0\;\prime}_{(1)}\xi^0_{(1)} - \mathcal{H}\xi^0_{(2)} - \frac{1}{3}\left(2\partial^i\omega^{(1)} - \partial^i\xi^0_{(1)}\right)\partial_i\xi^0_{(1)} \,, \qquad (47)\\
\widetilde{\delta^{(2)}\varphi} &= \delta^{(2)}\varphi + \xi^0_{(1)}\left(\varphi_0''\xi^0_{(1)} + \varphi_0'\xi^{0\;\prime}_{(1)} + 2\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right) + \varphi_0'\xi^0_{(2)} \,, \\
\widetilde{\delta^{(2)}\sigma} &= \delta^{(2)}\sigma + \xi^0_{(1)}\left(\sigma_0''\xi^0_{(1)} + \sigma_0'\xi^{0\;\prime}_{(1)} + 2\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right) + \sigma_0'\xi^0_{(2)} \,.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the expansion (45) transforms as[7]

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\psi} &+ \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\widetilde{\delta\varphi} + \sigma_0'\,\widetilde{\delta\sigma}}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) \\
&= \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\widetilde{\delta^{(2)}\varphi} + \sigma_0'\,\widetilde{\delta^{(2)}\sigma}}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) + \widetilde{\psi^{(2)}}\right] \\
&= \psi + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) + \xi^0_{(1)}T \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^0_{(1)}\right)^2\left[\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\varphi_0'' + \sigma_0'\sigma_0''}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{6}\left(2\partial^i\omega^{(1)} - \partial^i\xi^0_{(1)}\right)\partial_i\xi^0_{(1)} \,, \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

where, following [19], we have denoted

$$T = \psi^{(1)\prime} + 2\mathcal{H}\psi^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma'}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) \,. \tag{49}$$

By virtue of the first order transformations $\widetilde{\psi^{(1)}} = \psi^{(1)} - \mathcal{H}\,\xi^0_{(1)}$, $\widetilde{\delta^{(1)}\varphi} = \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \varphi_0'\,\xi^0_{(1)}$ and $\widetilde{\delta^{(1)}\sigma} = \delta^{(1)}\sigma + \sigma_0'\,\xi^0_{(1)}$ we find

$$T - \widetilde{T} = \xi^0_{(1)}\left[\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\varphi_0'' + \sigma_0'\sigma_0''}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right)\right] \,. \tag{50}$$

The expansion (48) can now be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\psi} + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\widetilde{\delta\varphi} + \sigma_0'\,\widetilde{\delta\sigma}}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) &= \psi + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(T + \widetilde{T}\right)\xi^0_{(1)} - \frac{1}{6}\left(2\partial^i\omega^{(1)} - \partial^i\xi^0_{(1)}\right)\partial_i\xi^0_{(1)} \,. \qquad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

---

[7] The first order part $\mathcal{R}^{(1)}$ remains unchanged under the transformation Eq. (46).

We also solve $\xi^0_{(1)}$ from Eq. (50) and insert it into the $T + \widetilde{T}$ term above. Note that by virtue of the first order transformation $\widetilde{\omega^{(1)}} = \omega^{(1)} - \xi^0_{(1)}$, the last term can be written as

$$-\frac{1}{6}\left(2\partial^i\omega^{(1)} - \partial^i\xi^0_{(1)}\right)\partial_i\xi^0_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{6}\left(\partial^i\omega^{(1)}\,\partial_i\omega^{(1)} - \partial^i\widetilde{\omega^{(1)}}\,\partial_i\widetilde{\omega^{(1)}}\right) \ . \tag{52}$$

Therefore, after some algebra we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{\psi} + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\widetilde{\delta\varphi} + \sigma_0'\,\widetilde{\delta\sigma}}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\widetilde{T}^2}{\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\varphi_0'' + \sigma_0'\sigma_0''}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right)} - \frac{1}{6}\partial^i\widetilde{\omega^{(1)}}\,\partial_i\widetilde{\omega^{(1)}} \\
&= \psi + \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{T^2}{\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{\varphi_0'\varphi_0'' + \sigma_0'\sigma_0''}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right)} - \frac{1}{6}\partial^i\omega^{(1)}\,\partial_i\omega^{(1)} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The treatment above shows that the comoving curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$, which is invariant under the time shift $\tau \rightarrow \tau - \xi^0_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left({\xi^0_{(1)}}'\xi^0_{(1)} - \xi^0_{(2)}\right)$, reads in the case of two scalar fields as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R} \ = \ & \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{H}\,\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(2)}\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2} + \psi^{(2)}\right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\left(\psi^{(1)\prime} + 2\,\mathcal{H}\psi^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\,\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma'}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}\right)^2}{\mathcal{H}' + 2\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}\,\frac{\varphi_0'\,\varphi_0'' + \sigma_0'\,\sigma_0''}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2}} \\
& - \frac{1}{6}\partial^i\omega^{(1)}\,\partial_i\omega^{(1)} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\,\frac{\varphi_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0'\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma}{{\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2} \ . \tag{55}$$

This result coincides with the one obtained in [29] once one takes into account the field redefinitions there.

4.2 Second order curvature perturbation in hybrid inflation

With the general longitudinal gauge, here essentially $\omega^{(1)} = 0$, and the hybrid inflation condition $\sigma_0 = 0$ the curvature perturbation acquires the same functional form as in the single-field case [19]

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{H}\frac{\delta^{(2)}\varphi}{\varphi_0'} + \psi^{(2)}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\left(\psi^{(1)\prime} + 2\,\mathcal{H}\psi^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\,\delta^{(1)}\varphi'/\varphi_0'\right)^2}{\mathcal{H}' + 2\,\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}\,\varphi_0''/\varphi_0'} \ , \tag{56}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)} + \mathcal{H}\,\frac{\delta^{(1)}\varphi}{\varphi_0'} \ . \tag{57}$$

Rewriting $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ in terms of the cosmic time $dt = a\,d\tau$ and applying the condition $\psi^{(1)} = \phi^{(1)}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)} = H\frac{\delta^{(2)}\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}_0} + \psi^{(2)} + \frac{\left(\dot{\phi}^{(1)} + 2H\phi^{(1)} + H\,\delta^{(1)}\dot{\varphi}/\dot{\varphi}_0\right)^2}{H^2\left(2 + \dot{H}/H - \ddot{\varphi}_0/H\dot{\varphi}_0\right)} \; . \tag{58}$$

Making use of the relations (25) and (26) we find

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{(2)} = \; & \frac{2H}{\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2}\left[\dot{\phi}^{(2)} + H\phi^{(2)} - \triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right)\right] + \phi^{(2)} - \frac{2H}{\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma} - \triangle^{-1}\gamma \\ & + \frac{\left(\dot{\phi}^{(1)} + 2H\phi^{(1)} + H\,\delta^{(1)}\dot{\varphi}/\dot{\varphi}_0\right)^2}{H^2\left(2 + \dot{H}/H - \ddot{\varphi}_0/H\dot{\varphi}_0\right)} \; .\end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

Acquaviva et al. [19] point out that the last term gives a subdominant contribution in the single field case. Moreover, it does not contain any dependence on σ, not even implicitly through $\dot{\phi}^{(1)} + 2H\phi^{(1)}$. Therefore, in what follows we shall neglect this term.

Since $2H^2/\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2 = 1/\epsilon$, the term $\phi^{(2)}$ outside the square brackets is subdominant to the one inside; hence we discard it. Thus, up to the leading order in the slow-roll parameters we may write the curvature perturbation as

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)} \simeq \frac{2H}{\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2}\left[\dot{\phi}^{(2)} + H\phi^{(2)} - \triangle^{-1}\left(\kappa^2\frac{\beta}{a} - \frac{\alpha}{a}\right)\right] - \frac{2H}{\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma} - \triangle^{-1}\gamma \; . \tag{60}$$

4.3 Curvature perturbation due to σ

As we did with the master equation, Eq. (42), we may isolate the contributions coming from the inflaton and σ also in $\mathcal{R}$. We thus write the comoving curvature perturbation as

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}^{(2)} = \mathcal{R}_\varphi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_\varphi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{(2)} = \mathcal{R}_\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{(2)} \; . \tag{61}$$

$\mathcal{R}_\varphi$ contribution has already been calculated by Acquaviva et al. [19]. They plug the expressions (22) and (25) for α, β, and γ into Eq. (60) while $\dot{\phi}^{(2)} + H\phi^{(2)}$ is solved from their master equation[8]. They also take into account the fact that at large scales, $k \ll aH$, $\psi^{(1)}$ can be taken constant and

$$\psi^{(1)} = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}\frac{\dot{\varphi}_0}{H}\delta^{(1)}\varphi = \epsilon H\frac{\delta^{(1)}\varphi}{\dot{\varphi}_0} \; . \tag{62}$$

---

[8] This is the part marked *inflaton contribution* in our master equation (42).

This makes it possible to set $\psi^{(1)} = \epsilon\,\mathcal{R}^{(1)}$ so that the result can be written in a deceptively simple looking way as [19]

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{\varphi} = (\eta - 3\epsilon)\left(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right)^2 + \mathcal{I}_{\varphi}\ , \tag{63}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{\varphi} = &-\frac{2}{\epsilon}\int \frac{1}{a^2}\psi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(1)}\,dt - \frac{4}{\epsilon}\int\frac{1}{a^2}\partial_i\psi^{(1)}\partial^i\psi^{(1)}\,dt \\ &-\frac{4}{\epsilon}\int\left(\ddot{\psi}^{(1)}\right)^2 dt + (\epsilon-\eta)\,\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\partial^i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

The important point to stress here is that the single field contribution to the curvature perturbation, including the integral part $\mathcal{I}_{\varphi}$, is proportional to the slow-roll parameters and hence naturally small in hybrid inflation.

However, in hybrid inflation the waterfall field σ yields an additional contribution to $\mathcal{R}$. We may calculate it from Eq. (60) by plugging in the σ dependent parts of α, β, γ, and integrating the σ dependent part of our master equation (42) to obtain $(\dot{\phi}^{(2)} + H\phi^{(2)})_{\sigma}$ (see [19] for the inflaton part). Leaving γ_{σ} implicit for the moment the result reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{\sigma} = &\ \frac{2H}{\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2}\Bigg[\int 6\kappa^2 H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)dt + \int 4\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet}dt \\ &-\int 2\kappa^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\right)^2 dt + \int\kappa^2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 dt - \int 2\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma}_{\sigma}\,dt \\ &+\int\triangle^{-1}\ddot{\gamma}_{\sigma}\,dt - \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\Bigg] - \frac{2H}{\kappa^2\dot{\varphi}_0^2}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma}_{\sigma} - \triangle^{-1}\gamma_{\sigma} \\ = &\ \frac{1}{\epsilon H}\Bigg\{\int\Bigg[\ 6\kappa^2 H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + 4\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet} \\ &-2\kappa^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\right)^2 + \kappa^2 m_{\sigma}^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 - 2\frac{\ddot{\varphi}_0}{\dot{\varphi}_0}\triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma}_{\sigma} + \triangle^{-1}\ddot{\gamma}_{\sigma}\Bigg]dt \\ &-\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot{\sigma}\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) - \triangle^{-1}\dot{\gamma}_{\sigma} - \epsilon H\triangle^{-1}\gamma_{\sigma}\quad\Bigg\}\ , \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

where we have used the shorthand notation $m_{\sigma}^2 \equiv \partial^2 V/\partial\sigma^2$ and the slow-roll relation Eq. (30). Since γ_{σ}, Eq. (40), is not in very transparent form, we rewrite it before substituting it into Eq. (65). For instance, it is not obvious whether $\triangle^{-1}\gamma_{\sigma}$ has terms that blow up outside the horizon. To study this let us write

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\sigma =& - 9a^2\kappa^2 H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) - 3a^2\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet}\\ &+ 3a^2\kappa^2\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2 - \frac{1}{6a^2}\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\sigma^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]\\ =& - 3a^2\kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\left[3H\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + \partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2\right.\\ &\left. + \frac{1}{6a^2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + \frac{m_\sigma^2}{2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]\\ =& - \kappa^2\triangle^{-1}\left[3\,\partial_i\left(\partial_k\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\right]\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

where we have used the equation of motion [37] $\delta^{(1)}\ddot\sigma + 3H\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma - a^{-2}\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma + m_\sigma^2\delta^{(1)}\sigma = 0$. From the last form of Eq. (66) we see that γ_σ indeed is suppressed outside the horizon: the Fourier modes are proportional to the wavenumber squared, k^2, since the inverse of the spatial Laplacian, $\triangle^{-1}$, cancels the scale dependence caused by two of the four spatial derivatives in each term.

Substituting Eq. (66) into Eq. (65) we can finally spell out explicitly the contribution of σ to $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_\sigma = \frac{\kappa^2}{\epsilon H}\Bigg\{\int\Bigg[\ & 6H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + 4\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet}\\ & - 2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2 + m_\sigma^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + (\epsilon-\eta)\,6H\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\left(\partial_k\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet}\\ & + (\epsilon-\eta)\,H\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet} - 3\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\left(\partial_k\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet\bullet}\\ & - \frac{1}{2}\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet\bullet}\Bigg]\,dt - \triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\\ & + 3\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\left(\partial_k\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet} + \frac{1}{2}\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^{\bullet}\\ & + 3\epsilon H\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\left(\partial_k\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) + \frac{\epsilon H}{2}\triangle^{-2}\partial_i\partial^i\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\Bigg\}\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

where we have used the slow-roll relations (30) and (31). Various complications arising from the derivations with respect to time and space, inverse spatial Laplacians and especially from the integration over time still remain. The main point, however, is that we have got rid of terms containing the metric explicitly and every term is quadratic in the first order perturbation of σ.

5 Large σ-induced non-Gaussianities

An exact evaluation of the σ-induced curvature perturbation Eq. (67) is beyond the scope of the present paper. However, we can consider the orders of magnitudes and relative sizes of the various terms appearing in Eq. (67). Let us first focus on the first term outside the time integral. Since $\triangle^{-1}\partial_i(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma) = \triangle^{-1}\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma + \triangle^{-1}\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma$, we see that Eq. (67) actually contains a term of the form $(\kappa^2/\epsilon H)\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma$. Outside the horizon, where $k \ll aH$, the first order perturbation $\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma$ follows the equation of motion $\delta^{(1)}\ddot\sigma + 3H\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma + m_\sigma^2\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma = 0$. If we make the usual assumption that the mass of σ is small, i.e. $m_\sigma < H$, the non-decaying solution is proportional to $\exp(-m_\sigma^2 t/3H)$. Therefore we can estimate $|\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma| \sim \frac{m_\sigma^2}{H}|\delta^{(1)}\sigma|$ so that

$$\left|\frac{\kappa^2}{\epsilon H}\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right| \sim \frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2}\left|\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(H\frac{\delta^{(1)}\sigma}{\dot\varphi_0}\right)\partial^i\left(H\frac{\delta^{(1)}\sigma}{\dot\varphi_0}\right)\right|, \tag{68}$$

where we have used the relation $\epsilon = \kappa^2\dot\varphi_0^2/2H^2$. The first order perturbation of an effectively massless field σ is the same as the first order perturbation of the inflaton field φ during horizon exit. Hence outside the horizon we may estimate

$$\left|H\frac{\delta^{(1)}\sigma}{\dot\varphi_0}\right| \sim \left|H\frac{\delta^{(1)}\varphi}{\dot\varphi_0}\right| \equiv |\mathcal{R}^{(1)}|\ . \tag{69}$$

As a consequence, we finally obtain an estimate for the simplest non-integral term in Eq. (67):

$$\left|\frac{\kappa^2}{\epsilon H}\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right| \sim \frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2}\left|\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\,\partial^i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right|. \tag{70}$$

This result can directly be compared with the last term in $\mathcal{I}_\varphi$ in Eq. (64). Because the spatial derivative operators[9] in $\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\,\partial^i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}$ cancel each others scale dependence, we can approximate roughly $|\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\,\partial^i\mathcal{R}^{(1)}| \sim |\mathcal{R}^{(1)}|^2$. This coincides with the statement in Acquaviva et al. [19] that $\mathcal{I}_\varphi$ is of the same order in slow-roll parameters as the contribution of the $(\mathcal{R}^{(1)})^2$ term to $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_\varphi$.

In a similar fashion we can approximate all the other terms in $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}_\sigma$ which are not integrated over time. Inspection shows that in every non-integrated term the spatial derivative operators cancel each others contribution to the scale dependence. Replacing also time derivatives with the factor $\frac{m_\sigma^2}{H}$ we see that there are only two kinds of terms, those proportional to $\frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2}\,|\mathcal{R}^{(1)}|^2$ and those proportional to $\epsilon\,|\mathcal{R}^{(1)}|^2$.

The estimation of the integral part in Eq. (67) is more involved. Because of the integration over time the Hubble parameter H, slow-roll parameters ϵ and η, mass m_σ and perturbation $\delta^{(1)}\sigma$ can no longer be assumed to be constants. Nevertheless, we may argue that the evolution of these parameters is relatively slow. In order to get an estimate for the integral part without too many complications we take the time integration to start after the scales in question have exited the horizon. We also assume that the quantities H, ϵ, η, m_σ and $\delta^{(1)}\sigma$ change slowly and, for our estimation purposes, take them to be constants. The error made this way is not likely to be significant.

---

[9] That is, the two derivatives ∂_i and the inverse of the spatial Laplacian $\triangle^{-1}$.

With these assumptions the terms in the integrand with an overall time derivative (or two time derivatives) are tractable. They produce contributions proportional to $\frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2}\left|\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right|^2$ and $(\epsilon-\eta)\left|\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right|^2$. We are then left with three terms in the integrand, which we rewrite using the same technique as with γ_σ in Eq. (66). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&6H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)-2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2+m_\sigma^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\\
&=\triangle^{-1}\left[6H\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\,\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)+m_\sigma^2\,\partial_i\partial^i\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]-2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2\\
&=-2\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\ddot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)-2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2\ ,
\end{aligned}\tag{71}$$

where we have used the equation of motion[10] $\delta^{(1)}\ddot\sigma+3H\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma+m_\sigma^2\delta^{(1)}\sigma=0$. These two remaining terms in the integrand we estimate like the terms outside the integral. They both have two time derivatives and no overall scale dependence, so we can estimate them roughly as $(m_\sigma^2/H)^2\left|\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right|^2$. Hence we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\kappa^2}{\epsilon H}\int\left[6H\triangle^{-1}\partial_i\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)-2\left(\delta^{(1)}\dot\sigma\right)^2+m_\sigma^2\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\right]dt\\
&\sim\frac{\kappa^2}{\epsilon H}\int\frac{m_\sigma^4}{H^2}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2dt\sim\frac{\kappa^2}{\epsilon H}\frac{m_\sigma^4}{H^3}\left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2\int Hdt\\
&\sim\triangle N\,\frac{m_\sigma^4}{H^4}\,\left|\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right|^2\ ,
\end{aligned}\tag{72}$$

where $\triangle N$ denotes the number of e-folds that the scale in question has spent outside the horizon. The relation $\triangle N=\int Hdt$ follows directly from the definition $N\equiv\ln(a_{\rm e}/a)$ where the subscript e denotes the end of inflation. Since the maximum value of $\triangle N\sim 60$ [37] we can rather safely say that the contribution from Eq. (72) does not dominate over $\frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2}\left|\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right|^2$.

Hence, combining the contributions of both fields, φ and σ, we may write the final result for the order of magnitude of non-Gaussianity in hybrid inflation as

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2)}=\left(a\,\eta+b\,\epsilon+c_\sigma\frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2}\right)\left(\mathcal{R}^{(1)}\right)^2\ ,\tag{73}$$

---

[10] We have omitted the spatial derivative term $a^{-2}\partial_i\partial^i\delta^{(1)}\sigma$ from the equation of motion. It may give some contribution immediately after the horizon exit but its total effect in the integration over time is negligible.

where a, b and c_σ are constants. Both fields φ and σ contribute to constants a and b but c_σ is solely due to the σ-field. In principle, the constants could be evaluated using Eqs. (63), (64), and (67). Simple estimation suggests they are of the same order. We should however emphasize that Eq. (73) should not be taken to suggest that non-Gaussianity in hybrid inflation is χ^2-distributed; in fact, the leading terms are non-local, as discussed above.

Thus we may conclude that, barring accidental cancellations in $\mathcal{R}_\sigma^{(2)}$, the second scalar field σ of hybrid inflation gives the dominant contribution to $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ if the mass of the σ field is large enough compared to the slow-roll parameters, i.e. if

$$\frac{m_\sigma^2}{H^2} \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(\epsilon, \eta). \tag{74}$$

Since usually it is assumed that $\epsilon \ll \eta$ the condition (74) can be written as

$$m_\sigma^2 \gtrsim \eta H^2 \ . \tag{75}$$

The approach followed in this Section does not apply if σ is too massive. When $m_\sigma > 3H/2$, the σ perturbations acquire a maximum scale dependence $k^3|\delta^{(1)}\sigma|^2 \propto (k/aH)^3$. In addition, the amplitude of perturbations $|\delta^{(1)}\sigma|^2$ becomes suppressed by m_σ/H [41]. The strong scale dependence is due to the fast decay of the perturbation outside the horizon, which can easily be seen from the equation of motion whose solutions decay proportionally to $\exp(-3Ht/2)$ when $m_\sigma > 3H/2$. Then immediately after horizon exit σ perturbations would be suppressed as $|\delta^{(1)}\sigma|^2 \sim (H/m_\sigma)\,|\delta^{(1)}\varphi|^2$. Thereafter they would decay much faster than the perturbations of the effectively massless inflaton field. This would most likely reduce their contribution to $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ insignificant.

6 Discussion

We have presented an analysis of non-Gaussianity in hybrid inflation using a second order perturbation expansion of the metric and energy-momentum tensor[11]. In hybrid inflation both the inflaton and the "waterfall field" σ give rise to non-Gaussian fluctuations. We showed that the two sources can be separated and computed the comoving curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}$ up to second order, paying particular attention to the contribution of σ to $\mathcal{R}$. We found that it does not affect the first order perturbation but is present at second order. Our main observation is that while inflaton-induced non-Gaussianities always are proportional to the slow-roll parameters, and therefore usually small, non-Gaussianities induced by σ do not have the slow-roll parameter dependence but rather scale like m_σ^2/H^2. Hence the waterfall field σ is the main source of non-Gaussianity in hybrid inflation whenever $m_\sigma^2 \gtrsim \eta H^2$.

---

[11] Our formalism is applicable to those multi-field inflation models for which the trajectory in the field space is not curved, so that one can set the background value $\sigma_0 = 0$ (the absence of a linear term in σ is also required); in addition to hybrid inflation, the Linde-Mukhanov model [40] is another example.

In hybrid inflation H is highly adjustable; difficulties start emerging only when the energy scale during inflation is lowered to ∼TeV [43]. Therefore no clear-cut conclusion can be drawn for a generic model of hybrid inflation. Note however that WMAP data for the spectral index $n = 0.99 \pm 0.04$ [44] implies that $\eta \simeq 0.01$ through $n - 1 \simeq 2\eta$ (assuming $\epsilon \ll \eta$). For illustrative purposes we could adopt $\eta H^2 \sim (10^9 \text{ GeV})^2$ as a typical value for hybrid inflation. This implies that σ-induced non-Gaussianities can dominate already for relatively low m_σ. Moreover, potentially they can be large. Therefore, the future detection of CMB non-Gaussianities could provide a powerful tool for testing hybrid inflation models.

Comparison with data is however not straightforward. This is true for any proper treatment of the second-order perturbations, not just for the case of hybrid inflation. A fundamental problem is that in the presence of non-Gaussianities the N-point correlators need not be related – in principle non-Gaussianity implies an infinite number of degrees of freedom. Therefore a generic parametrization and observational testing of non-Gaussianity is difficult (for a discussion, see e.g. [10]).

A measure of non-Gaussianity often used in the literature is the non-linearity parameter $f_{\rm NL}$ defined by [10] $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = \Phi_{\rm G}(\boldsymbol{x}) + f_{\rm NL}\,[\Phi_{\rm G}^2(\boldsymbol{x}) - \langle \Phi_{\rm G}^2(\boldsymbol{x})\rangle]$, where Φ is curvature perturbation proportional to $\mathcal{R}$ and $\Phi_{\rm G} \propto \mathcal{R}^{(1)}$ is the Gaussian part; the angle brackets denote statistical ensemble average. By construction this parametrization is ideal for χ^2 non-Gaussianity. Although the σ contribution to $\mathcal{R}$, Eq. (67), is of the form first order perturbation squared, it does not contain an explicit factor $(\mathcal{R}^{(1)})^2$ and is therefore not χ^2 distributed. Only the first term in the inflaton contribution, Eq. (63), is directly amenable to the parametrization above (and would imply $f_{\rm NL} \propto \eta - 3\epsilon$). However, the rest of the inflaton contribution, Eq. (64), together with all of the σ contribution, Eq. (67), contribute non-locally as they depend on terms integrated over time or space.

A more appropriate parameter would be the non-Gaussianity kernel $\mathcal{K}$, which is directly related to the curvature perturbation bispectrum as we will show below. $\mathcal{K}$ is also used by Acquaviva et al. [19]. It could be called a "momentum dependent" non-linearity parameter and is defined in momentum space by [28] (see also [19])

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{k}) = \Phi_{\rm G}(\boldsymbol{k}) + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3k_1\, d^3k_2\, \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1 + \boldsymbol{k}_2 - \boldsymbol{k})\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_1, \boldsymbol{k}_2)\Phi_{\rm G}(\boldsymbol{k}_1)\Phi_{\rm G}(\boldsymbol{k}_2) + \text{constant}\,, \qquad (76)$$

where the constant is such that $\langle \Phi(\boldsymbol{k})\rangle = 0$. With this definition the bispectrum for the primordial curvature perturbation Φ reads [28, 19]

$$\langle\Phi(\boldsymbol{k}_1)\Phi(\boldsymbol{k}_2)\Phi(\boldsymbol{k}_3)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}_1+\boldsymbol{k}_2+\boldsymbol{k}_3)\,[2\,\mathcal{K}(\boldsymbol{k}_1,\boldsymbol{k}_2)\,\mathcal{P}_\Phi(\boldsymbol{k}_1)\,\mathcal{P}_\Phi(\boldsymbol{k}_2) + \text{cyclic}]\ , \tag{77}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_\Phi(\boldsymbol{k})$ is the power spectrum for the primordial curvature perturbation. The different contributions in Eq. (77) depend on the overall factors in Eq. (73); hence the waterfall field σ would give the leading contribution to the non-Gaussianity kernel whenever the condition Eq. (75) is met.

Although the non-Gaussianity kernel $\mathcal{K}$ is not identical to the non-linearity parameter $f_{\rm NL}$, we may nevertheless deduce some rough observational constraints on $f_{\rm NL}$ that can be used to evaluate the significance of the non-Gaussianities discussed in this paper. For instance, since WMAP [7] established the bounds $-58 < f_{\rm NL} < 134$ with 95% confidence, non-Gaussianity given by Eq. (73) is beyond the present observational accuracy provided $m_\sigma < H$. Given the cosmic variance, detector noise, and foreground sources, WMAP is eventually expected to detect $|f_{\rm NL}|$ with an accuracy of 20, while Planck has the projected accuracy of 5 [9]. An ideal experiment has a sensitivity of 3 for $|f_{\rm NL}|$ [9]. Hence there is a possibility that Planck will detect non-Gaussianities if m_σ/H is rather close to unity and the constant c_σ in Eq. (73) is larger that unity. Thus a more accurate determination of the constants a, b and c_σ in Eq. (73) is clearly desirable.

We should point out that post-inflationary effects provide another source of uncertainty for a comparison between data and theoretical estimates. Our formalism, and especially the results, Eqs. (63), (67) and (73), apply only during inflation. Likewise, the definitions for $\mathcal{K}$ and $f_{\rm NL}$ discussed here are for the primordial values, i.e., for the values before the end of inflation and reheating. We have not considered the effects of reheating and the later evolution of these perturbations. It is known that in multi-field models the possibility for generating large non-Gaussianities after inflation exists; this can happen e.g. in the curvaton scenario (see e.g. [31, 32] for the model and [34, 28, 35] for a discussion on non-Gaussianity). In such a case one should take the perturbations derived here as initial conditions for the subsequent evolution, and follow the treatment presented in [36] and applied in [28].

Acknowledgements

A.V. would like to thank Asko Jokinen for useful discussions. A.V. is supported by the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation. K.E. is partially supported by the Academy of Finland grants 75065 and 205800.

Appendix

A Components of the Einstein tensor

We take the components of the Einstein tensor, Eq. (16), from Acquaviva et al. [19], where they are presented in a general gauge. For convenience we cite them here in the generalized longitudinal gauge for the metric (9)-(11).

The background components are

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{0(0)}_{\ 0} &= -\frac{3}{a^2}\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 , \\
G^{i(0)}_{\ j} &= -\frac{1}{a^2}\left[2\frac{a''}{a} - \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\right]\delta^i{}_j , \\
G^{0(0)}_{\ i} &= G^{i(0)}_{\ 0} = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{78}
$$

The first order components are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(1)}G^0{}_0 &= a^{-2}\left[6\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\phi^{(1)} + 6\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(1)\prime} - 2\partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(1)}\right] , \\
\delta^{(1)}G^0{}_i &= a^{-2}\left(-2\frac{a'}{a}\partial_i\phi^{(1)} - 2\partial_i\psi^{(1)\prime}\right) , \\
\delta^{(1)}G^i{}_j &= a^{-2}\left[\left(2\frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(1)\prime} + 4\frac{a''}{a}\phi^{(1)} - 2\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\phi^{(1)} + \partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)} + 4\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(1)\prime}\right.\right. \\
&\qquad \left.\left. +\ 2\psi^{(1)\prime\prime} - \partial_k\partial^k\psi^{(1)}\right)\delta^i{}_j - \partial^i\partial_j\phi^{(1)} + \partial^i\partial_j\psi^{(1)}\right] .
\end{aligned}
\tag{79}
$$

The second order components are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{(2)}G^0{}_0 &= 2\,a^{-2}\left[3\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\phi^{(2)} + 3\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(2)\prime} - \partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(2)} - 12\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2\right. \\
&\qquad \left. -12\frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(1)}\psi^{(1)\prime} - 3\partial_i\psi^{(1)}\,\partial^i\psi^{(1)} - 8\psi^{(1)}\partial_i\partial^i\psi^{(1)} + 12\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(1)}\psi^{(1)\prime} - 3\left(\psi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2\right] , \\
\delta^{(2)}G^i{}_0 &= 2\,a^{-2}\left(\frac{a'}{a}\partial^i\phi^{(2)} + \partial^i\psi^{(2)\prime} + \frac{1}{4}\partial_k\partial^k\chi^{i(2)\prime} - 4\frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)}\right. \\
&\qquad \left. +\,4\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(1)}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} - 2\psi^{(1)\prime}\partial^i\phi^{(1)} + 4\psi^{(1)\prime}\partial^i\psi^{(1)} + 8\psi^{(1)}\partial^i\psi^{(1)\prime}\right) , \\
\delta^{(2)}G^0{}_i &= 2\,a^{-2}\left(-\frac{a'}{a}\partial_i\phi^{(2)} - \partial_i\psi^{(2)\prime} - \frac{1}{4}\partial_k\partial^k\chi^{(2)\prime}_i + 8\frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\phi^{(1)}\right.
\end{aligned}
\tag{80}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ 4\phi^{(1)}\partial_i\psi^{(1)\prime} + 2\psi^{(1)\prime}\partial_i\phi^{(1)} - 4\psi^{(1)\prime}\partial_i\psi^{(1)} - 4\psi^{(1)}\partial_i\psi^{(1)\prime}\Big)\,, \\
\delta^{(2)}G^i{}_j = 2\,a^{-2}\Bigg\{\Bigg[&\frac{1}{2}\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(2)} + \frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(2)\prime} + 2\frac{a''}{a}\phi^{(2)} - \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\phi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_k\partial^k\psi^{(2)} \\
&+\ \psi^{(2)\prime\prime} + 2\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(2)\prime} + 4\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 8\frac{a''}{a}\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - 8\frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(1)}\phi^{(1)\prime} \\
&-\ \partial_k\phi^{(1)}\partial^k\phi^{(1)} - 2\phi^{(1)}\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)} - 4\phi^{(1)}\psi^{(1)\prime\prime} - 2\phi^{(1)\prime}\psi^{(1)\prime} - 8\frac{a'}{a}\phi^{(1)}\psi^{(1)\prime} \\
&-\ 2\partial_k\psi^{(1)}\partial^k\psi^{(1)} - 4\psi^{(1)}\partial_k\partial^k\psi^{(1)} + \left(\psi^{(1)\prime}\right)^2 + 8\frac{a'}{a}\psi^{(1)}\psi^{(1)\prime} + 4\psi^{(1)}\psi^{(1)\prime\prime} \\
&+\ 2\psi^{(1)}\partial_k\partial^k\phi^{(1)}\Bigg]\delta^i{}_j - \frac{1}{2}\partial^i\partial_j\phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}\partial^i\partial_j\psi^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4}\partial_k\partial^k\chi^{i(2)}_{\ j} \\
&+\ \frac{1}{2}\frac{a'}{a}\left(\partial^i\chi^{(2)\prime}_j + \partial_j\chi^{i(2)\prime} + \chi^{i(2)\prime}_{\ j}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\left(\partial^i\chi^{(2)\prime\prime}_j + \partial_j\chi^{i(2)\prime\prime} + \chi^{i(2)\prime\prime}_{\ j}\right) \\
&+\ \partial^i\phi^{(1)}\partial_j\phi^{(1)} + 2\phi^{(1)}\partial^i\partial_j\phi^{(1)} - 2\psi^{(1)}\partial^i\partial_j\phi^{(1)} - \partial^i\psi^{(1)}\partial_j\phi^{(1)} \\
&-\ \partial^i\phi^{(1)}\partial_j\psi^{(1)} + 3\partial^i\psi^{(1)}\partial_j\psi^{(1)} + 4\psi^{(1)}\partial^i\partial_j\psi^{(1)}\Bigg\}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

B Energy-momentum perturbations of two scalar fields

We consider here the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ for two scalar fields φ and σ minimally coupled to gravity which can be obtained in a straightforward way by using Eqs. (12), (13), (14) and (9)-(11). The results are ($V_0 \equiv V(\varphi_0, \sigma_0)$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{0(0)}_{\ 0} &= a^{-2}\left(-\frac{1}{2}{\varphi_0'}^2 - \frac{1}{2}{\sigma_0'}^2 - a^2 V_0\right)\,, \qquad (81) \\
\delta^{(1)}T^0{}_0 &= a^{-2}\Big[-\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi' - \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma' + \left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2\right)\phi^{(1)} \\
&\quad - a^2\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\Big]\,, \\
\delta^{(2)}T^0{}_0 &= 2\,a^{-2}\Big\{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(2)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(2)}\sigma'\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2\right) \\
&\quad + 2\left(\varphi_0'\delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0'\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)\phi^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}\left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2\right)\phi^{(2)} \\
&\quad - 2\left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2\right)\left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_k\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\,\partial^k\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{a^2}{2}\Big[\frac{\partial V}{\partial\varphi}\delta^{(2)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial\sigma}\delta^{(2)}\sigma + 2\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial\varphi\,\partial\sigma}\delta^{(1)}\varphi\,\delta^{(1)}\sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left. \left. + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \varphi^2} \left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \sigma^2} \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 \right] \right\} \ ,
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{0(0)}_{\ \ i} &= 0 \ , \\
\delta^{(1)} T^{0}_{\ i} &= a^{-2} \left(-\varphi_0' \, \partial_i \delta^{(1)}\varphi - \sigma_0' \, \partial_i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) \ , \\
\delta^{(2)} T^{0}_{\ i} &= 2\, a^{-2} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\varphi_0' \, \partial_i \delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0' \, \partial_i \delta^{(2)}\sigma \right) - \delta^{(1)}\varphi' \partial_i \delta^{(1)}\varphi - \delta^{(1)}\sigma' \partial_i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + 2 \left(\varphi_0' \, \partial_i \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0' \, \partial_i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) \phi^{(1)} \right] \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{82}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{i(0)}_{\ \ 0} &= 0 \ , \\
\delta^{(1)} T^{i}_{\ 0} &= a^{-2} \left(\varphi_0' \, \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0' \, \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) \ , \\
\delta^{(2)} T^{i}_{\ 0} &= 2\, a^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\varphi_0' \, \partial^i \delta^{(2)}\varphi + \sigma_0' \, \partial^i \delta^{(2)}\sigma \right) + \delta^{(1)}\varphi' \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \delta^{(1)}\sigma' \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + 2 \left(\varphi_0' \, \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \sigma_0' \, \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) \psi^{(1)} \right] \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{i(0)}_{\ \ j} &= a^{-2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2 \right) - a^2 \, V_0 \right] \delta^i_{\ j} \ , \\
\delta^{(1)} T^{i}_{\ j} &= a^{-2} \left[\varphi_0' \, \delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0' \, \delta^{(1)}\sigma' - \left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2 \right) \phi^{(1)} \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - a^2 \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma} \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) \right] \delta^i_{\ j} \ , \\
\delta^{(2)} T^{i}_{\ j} &= 2\, a^{-2} \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\varphi_0' \delta^{(2)}\varphi' + \sigma_0' \delta^{(2)}\sigma' \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi'\right)^2 + \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma'\right)^2 \right) \right. \right. \\
&\qquad - 2 \left(\varphi_0' \delta^{(1)}\varphi' + \sigma_0' \delta^{(1)}\sigma' \right) \phi^{(1)} - \frac{1}{2} \left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2 \right) \phi^{(2)} + 2 \left({\varphi_0'}^2 + {\sigma_0'}^2 \right) \left(\phi^{(1)}\right)^2 \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_k \delta^{(1)}\varphi \, \partial^k \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial_k \delta^{(1)}\sigma \, \partial^k \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) - \frac{a^2}{2} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial \varphi} \delta^{(2)}\varphi + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \sigma} \delta^{(2)}\sigma \right. \\
&\qquad \left. \left. + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \varphi^2} \left(\delta^{(1)}\varphi\right)^2 + \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \sigma^2} \left(\delta^{(1)}\sigma\right)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \varphi \, \partial \sigma} \delta^{(1)}\varphi \, \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right) \right] \delta^i_{\ j} \\
&\qquad \left. + \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\varphi \, \partial_j \delta^{(1)}\varphi + \partial^i \delta^{(1)}\sigma \, \partial_j \delta^{(1)}\sigma \right\} \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{84}
$$

References

[1] C. L. Bennett *et al.*, "First Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Preliminary Maps and Basic Results," Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** (2003) 1 [arXiv:astro-ph/0302207].

[2] L. Knox, "Future probes of the primordial scalar and tensor perturbation spectra: Prospects from the CMB, cosmic shear and high-volume redshift surveys," arXiv:astro-ph/0304370.

[3] D. Wands, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "An observational test of two-field inflation," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 043520 [arXiv:astro-ph/0205253].

[4] D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, "Particle physics models of inflation and the cosmological density perturbation," Phys. Rept. **314** (1999) 1 [arXiv:hep-ph/9807278].

[5] C. Gordon, "Adiabatic and entropy perturbations in cosmology," arXiv:astro-ph/0112523.

[6] J. Väliviita and V. Muhonen, "Correlated adiabatic and isocurvature CMB fluctuations in the wake of WMAP," Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 131302 [arXiv:astro-ph/0304175].

[7] E. Komatsu *et al.*, "First Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Tests of Gaussianity," Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** (2003) 119 [arXiv:astro-ph/0302223].

[8] J. Maldacena, "Non-Gaussian features of primordial fluctuations in single field inflationary models," JHEP **0305** (2003) 013 [arXiv:astro-ph/0210603].

[9] E. Komatsu and D. N. Spergel, "Acoustic Signatures In The Primary Microwave Background Bispectrum," Phys. Rev. D **63** (2001) 063002 [arXiv:astro-ph/0005036].

[10] E. Komatsu, "The Pursuit of Non-Gaussian Fluctuations in the Cosmic Microwave Background," arXiv:astro-ph/0206039.

[11] D. S. Salopek and J. R. Bond, "Nonlinear Evolution Of Long Wavelength Metric Fluctuations In Inflationary Models," Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990) 3936.

[12] D. S. Salopek and J. R. Bond, "Stochastic Inflation And Nonlinear Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **43** (1991) 1005.

[13] A. Gangui, F. Lucchin, S. Matarrese and S. Mollerach, "The Three point correlation function of the cosmic microwave background in inflationary models," Astrophys. J. **430** (1994) 447 [arXiv:astro-ph/9312033].

[14] J. Lesgourgues, D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, "Quantum-to-classical transition of cosmological perturbations for non-vacuum initial states," Nucl. Phys. B **497** (1997) 479 [arXiv:gr-qc/9611019].

[15] J. Martin, A. Riazuelo and M. Sakellariadou, "Non-vacuum initial states for cosmological perturbations of quantum-mechanical origin," Phys. Rev. D **61** (2000) 083518 [arXiv:astro-ph/9904167].

[16] A. Gangui, J. Martin and M. Sakellariadou, "Single field inflation and non-Gaussianity," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 083502.

[17] P. Creminelli, "On non-gaussianities in single-field inflation," JCAP **0310** (2003) 003 [arXiv:astro-ph/0306122].

[18] M. Zaldarriaga, "Non-Gaussianities in models with a varying inflaton decay rate," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 043508 [arXiv:astro-ph/0306006].

[19] V. Acquaviva, N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, "Second-order cosmological perturbations from inflation," Nucl. Phys. B **667** (2003) 119 [arXiv:astro-ph/0209156].

[20] A. D. Linde, "Axions in inflationary cosmology," Phys. Lett. B **259** (1991) 38.

[21] A. D. Linde, "Hybrid inflation," Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 748 [arXiv:astro-ph/9307002].

[22] F. Bernardeau and J. P. Uzan, "Non-Gaussianity in multi-field inflation," Phys. Rev. D **66** (2002) 103506 [arXiv:hep-ph/0207295].

[23] F. Bernardeau and J. P. Uzan, "Inflationary models inducing non-gaussian metric fluctuations," Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 121301 [arXiv:astro-ph/0209330].

[24] I. Yi and E.T. Vishniac, "Inflationary stochastic dynamics and the statistics of large-scale structure," 1993ApJS, vol. 86, no. 2. p. 333-364.

[25] M. Bruni, S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach and S. Sonego, "Perturbations of spacetime: Gauge transformations and gauge invariance at second order and beyond," Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) 2585 [arXiv:gr-qc/9609040].

[26] S. Matarrese, S. Mollerach and M. Bruni, “Second-order perturbations of the Einstein-de Sitter universe,” Phys. Rev. D **58** (1998) 043504 [arXiv:astro-ph/9707278].

[27] H. Noh and J. Hwang, “Second-order perturbations of the Friedmann world model,” arXiv:astro-ph/0305123.

[28] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, “Evolution of second-order cosmological perturbations and non-Gaussianity,” JCAP **0401** (2004) 003 [arXiv:astro-ph/0309692].

[29] G. Rigopoulos, “On second order gauge invariant perturbations in multi-field inflationary models,” arXiv:astro-ph/0212141.

[30] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, “Non-Gaussianity from inflation,” Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 103505 [arXiv:hep-ph/0112261].

[31] K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, “Adiabatic CMB perturbations in pre big bang string cosmology,” Nucl. Phys. B **626** (2002) 395 [arXiv:hep-ph/0109214].

[32] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, “Generating the curvature perturbation without an inflaton,” Phys. Lett. B **524** (2002) 5 [arXiv:hep-ph/0110002].

[33] T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, “Effects of cosmological moduli fields on cosmic microwave background,” Phys. Lett. B **522** (2001) 215 [Erratum-ibid. B **539** (2002) 303] [arXiv:hep-ph/0110096].

[34] D. H. Lyth, C. Ungarelli and D. Wands, “The primordial density perturbation in the curvaton scenario,” Phys. Rev. D **67** (2003) 023503 [arXiv:astro-ph/0208055].

[35] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, “On non-Gaussianity in the curvaton scenario,” Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004) 043503 [arXiv:hep-ph/0309033].

[36] N. Bartolo, S. Matarrese and A. Riotto, “Enhancement of non-Gaussianity after inflation,” arXiv:astro-ph/0308088.

[37] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, “Cosmological Inflation And Large-Scale Structure,” Cambridge, UK: Univ. Pr. (2000) 400 p.

[38] V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, “Theory Of Cosmological Perturbations. Part 1. Classical Perturbations. Part 2. Quantum Theory Of Perturbations. Part 3. Extensions,” Phys. Rept. **215** (1992) 203.

[39] D. Polarski and A. A. Starobinsky, "Isocurvature perturbations in multiple inflationary models," Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 6123 [arXiv:astro-ph/9404061].

[40] A. D. Linde and V. Mukhanov, "Nongaussian isocurvature perturbations from inflation," Phys. Rev. D **56** (1997) 535 [arXiv:astro-ph/9610219].

[41] A. Riotto, "Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations," arXiv:hep-ph/0210162.

[42] K. A. Malik and D. Wands, "Evolution of second order cosmological perturbations," Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) L65 [arXiv:astro-ph/0307055].

[43] D. H. Lyth, "Constraints on TeV-scale hybrid inflation and comments on non-hybrid alternatives," Phys. Lett. B **466** (1999) 85 [arXiv:hep-ph/9908219].

[44] D. N. Spergel *et al.*, "First Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Determination of Cosmological Parameters," Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148** (2003) 175 [arXiv:astro-ph/0302209].